

CONDENSATION OF MATTER AND TRAPPED SURFACES IN QUASI-POLAR GAUGE

Piotr Koc*

Institute of Physics
Jagellonian University
Reymonta 4, 30-059 Kraków,
Poland

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv, 97.60.Lf

Abstract

We continue the investigation of formation of trapped surfaces in strongly curved , conformally flat geometries. Initial data in quasi-polar gauges rather than maximal ones are considered. This implies that apparent horizons coincide with minimal surfaces. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the formation of trapped surfaces are given. These results can be generalized to include the case with gravitational radiation. We found that mass of a body inside a fixed volume is bounded from above if geometry of a Cauchy slice is smooth.

1.INTRODUCTION

There is a widespread opinion that matter squeezed in a small enough volume must collapse under its own weight. This idea may be expressed as the so called **hoop conjecture** which states [1]: "An event horizon will form if and only if $4\pi m > C$, where C is the smallest hoop that can be passed around body in any direction". In the original formulation, m is the ADM mass. It is known that this formulation understood as strict criterion fails [2]; that means that mass must be concentrated in all three direction. The most significant progress was made in proving **the trapped surface conjecture** which says that: "Any mass that is concentrated in a region of sufficiently small diameter can be surrounded by a trapped surface" [3]. If we assume Cosmic Censorship, **the existence of a trapped surface (even on an initial slice) implies the existence of black holes**, as suggested by the Penrose-Hawking singularity theorems [4]. In this paper we

* e-mail address: ufkoc@ztc386a.if.uj.edu.pl

will examine formation of trapped surfaces in a class of nonspherical initial data sets.

This problem may be also seen from another point of view - as a part of the proof of Cosmic Censorship Hypothesis [5].

The trapped surface conjecture and the problem of maximal concentration of matter have been solved recently in series of papers (see [6] for review) for different classes of geometries. All this work was made in **the maximal slicing** gauge (or even under a more restrictive assumption of time-symmetry initial data):

$$trK = 0.$$

K is an extrinsic curvature tensor of a Cauchy slice. There exists another attractive gauge (the polar gauge) for spherically symmetric Cauchy data, in which $trK = K^r_r$, where r is the radial coordinate on the Cauchy surface. As will be pointed out later, in the polar gauge the apparent horizons coincide with minimal surfaces; that enormously simplifies the formulation of criteria for the formation of trapped surfaces. In this paper we investigate the formation of trapped surfaces in **the generalized polar gauge** in which the spacetime is foliated by hypersurfaces such that

$$trK = K^\sigma_\sigma. \tag{1.1}$$

Subscript σ is connected with a quasi-radial coordinate (see below for more detailed description); there is no summation over σ . Such foliations were considered in [7].

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is introductory one. In Section 3 it is proven that if total rest mass exceeds certain critical value determined by a size of the system then averaged trapped surface must exist (sufficient condition). Section 4 shows, that there is an upper limit for the amount of matter inside a volume of a fixed size (an analogous result was proven earlier [6,8] in the maximal slicing). A necessary condition for the formation of averaged trapped surfaces (and thus, also of pointwise trapped surfaces) is analyzed in the case of spherical symmetry in Section 5. We would like to point out that the quasi-polar gauge allows one to obtain a necessary condition even for non-symmetric in time initial data, in contrast with maximal gauges. Necessary and sufficient condition stated in Secs. 3, 5 have been proven earlier [6] but under assumption of momentarily static initial data. Section 6 presents a general criterion for the existence of a trapped surface in a system possibly containing the gravitational radiation. The considered self gravitating system is not required to be conformally flat. The last Section comprises some comments on the obtained results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let us start from the initial value equations:

$${}^{(3)}R[g] - K_{ab}K^{ab} + (trK)^2 = 16\pi\rho, \tag{2.1}$$

$$D_a K^a_b - D_b K^a_a = -8\pi J_b. \tag{2.2}$$

The notation of this paper is taken from the first reference of [9]. Energy density ρ and matter current J_b are prescribed on a space-like hypersurface Σ . Three-geometry of Σ is defined by the induced metric tensor g_{ab} . An immersion into a 4-dimensional space-time is given by an extrinsic curvature tensor $K_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_t g_{ab}$. In (2.1) ${}^{(3)}R[g]$ is the scalar curvature of Σ . D_a in (2.2) denotes covariant derivative in the 3-dimensional metric. We put $c=1$, $G=1$.

We assume a conformally flat geometry,

$$g_{ab} = \Phi^4 \tilde{g}_{ab},$$

in the next 4 sections. Φ is a conformal factor and $\tilde{g}_{ab}$ denotes a flat metric. All quantities with tilde refer to flat background space. Assumption of the conformal flatness may be intuitively understood as a restriction to the case without gravitational waves - a conformally flat system without matter is flat. It allows us to use the total proper rest mass as the quasilocal measure of the gravitational energy.

Now we employ, special coordinates [10] adapted to equipotential surfaces S (i.e., surfaces of constant Φ). In these coordinates the 3-dimensional line element has a form

$$ds^2 = \Phi^4(\sigma)(\tilde{g}_{\sigma\sigma}d\sigma^2 + \tilde{g}_{\tau\tau}d\tau^2 + \tilde{g}_{\phi\phi}d\phi^2 + 2\tilde{g}_{\tau\phi}d\tau d\phi).$$

Here $\sigma \geq 0$, σ foliates the level surfaces of the Φ , that from now on are **assumed to be convex**, and τ, ϕ are quasi-angle variables. The scalar curvature of the conformally flat metric g_{ab} reads

$${}^{(3)}R[g] = -8 \frac{\nabla^2 \Phi}{\Phi^5}.$$

Inserting this into (2.1) we obtain the following equation:

$$\nabla^2 \Phi + \frac{\Phi^5}{8} [K_{ab}K^{ab} - (tr K)^2] = -2\pi\rho\Phi^5. \quad (2.3)$$

A surface S is called **an averaged trapped surface** [10], if the mean expansion ϑ of outgoing future directed null geodesics which are orthogonal to S is nonpositive,

$$\int_S \vartheta dS \leq 0.$$

ϑ is related to the initial data of Einstein equations g_{ab}, K_{ab} by

$$\vartheta = D_a n^a - K_{ab} n^a n^b + tr K,$$

where n^a denotes a normal unit vector to S . The foliation condition (1.1) implies, that the last two terms in the above equation cancel and $\vartheta = D_a n^a$. Thus we can rewrite the condition for an averaged trapped surface in the form

$$\int_{\tilde{S}} D_a n^a d\tilde{S} \leq 0. \quad (2.4)$$

A closed surface $S \subset \Sigma$ is called minimal if $D_a n^a = 0$ everywhere on S and it is called an apparent horizon if $\vartheta(S) = 0$. Thus on a quasi-polar Cauchy slice Σ (on which $tr K = K^\sigma{}_\sigma$) the notions of apparent horizons and minimal surfaces coincide. This means that the existence problem of apparent horizons becomes an intrinsic problem of a 3-dim. riemanian geometry.

3.SUFFICIENT CONDITION

In this chapter we find a sufficient condition for an equipotential surface S to be an averaged trapped surface. Mass contained in a volume V bounded by a surface S is defined by

$$M = \int_V \rho dV = \int_{\tilde{V}} \rho \Phi^6 d\tilde{V}. \quad (3.1)$$

Let us multiply equation (2.3) by Φ and integrate over the volume $\tilde{V}$. Using the above definition of M we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\tilde{V}} \Phi \nabla^2 \Phi d\tilde{V} - \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\tilde{V}} [K_{ab} K^{ab} - (tr K)^2] \Phi^6 d\tilde{V} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\tilde{V}} \partial_i \Phi \partial^i \Phi d\tilde{V} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\tilde{S}} \Phi \partial_\sigma \Phi \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{g}_{\sigma\sigma}}} d\tilde{S} - \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\tilde{V}} [K_{ab} K^{ab} - (tr K)^2] \Phi^6 d\tilde{V}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

The divergence of the normal unit vector n^i , i.e., the mean curvature of a surface S in the physical metric g_{ik} which defines trapped surface (eq.(2.4)) has the following form

$$D_i n^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_i (\sqrt{g} n^i) = \frac{\partial_\sigma \ln \sqrt{\xi}}{\Phi^2 \sqrt{\tilde{g}_{\sigma\sigma}}} + \frac{4\Phi \partial_\sigma \Phi}{\Phi^4 \sqrt{\tilde{g}_{\sigma\sigma}}}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\xi = \tilde{g}_{\tau\tau} \tilde{g}_{\phi\phi} - (\tilde{g}_{\tau\phi})^2.$$

We also need to calculate the mean curvature of S with respect to the background flat metric $\tilde{g}_{ik}$

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{g}}} \partial_i (\sqrt{\tilde{g}} \tilde{n}^i) = \frac{\partial_\sigma \ln \sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{\tilde{g}_{\sigma\sigma}}}, \quad (3.4)$$

By inserting (3.4) to (3.3) we get

$$\Phi \partial_\sigma \Phi = \left(D_i n^i - \frac{\tilde{p}}{\Phi^2} \right) \frac{\Phi^4 \sqrt{\tilde{g}_{\sigma\sigma}}}{4}. \quad (3.5)$$

In (3.5) we recognize the integrand of the second term of (3.2) hence (3.2) reads now

$$M = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\tilde{V}} \partial_i \Phi \partial^i \Phi d\tilde{V} - \frac{\Phi^4}{8\pi} \int_{\tilde{S}} D_i n^i d\tilde{S} + \frac{\Phi^2}{8\pi} \int_{\tilde{S}} \tilde{p} d\tilde{S} +$$

$$-\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\tilde{V}} [K_{ab}K^{ab} - (trK)^2] \Phi^6 d\tilde{V} \quad (3.6)$$

Now let us assume that S is **not an averaged trapped** surface, that is

$$\int_{\tilde{S}} D_i n^i d\tilde{S} > 0.$$

In such a case the second term of (3.6) is negative. The gauge condition (1.1) implies

$$K_{ab}K^{ab} - (trK)^2 = K_{ab}K^{ab} - K_{\sigma\sigma}K^{\sigma\sigma} \geq 0; \quad (3.7)$$

therefore the last term of (3.6) is also nonpositive. Therefore, if S is not an averaged trapped surface then the following inequality holds:

$$M < \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\tilde{V}} \partial_i \Phi \partial^i \Phi d\tilde{V} + \frac{\Phi^2}{8\pi} \int_{\tilde{S}} \tilde{p} d\tilde{S}. \quad (3.8)$$

Let us make two definitions

$$D(S(\sigma)) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\tilde{V}} \partial_i \Phi \partial^i \Phi d\tilde{V} + \frac{\Phi^2}{8\pi} \int_{\tilde{S}} \tilde{p} d\tilde{S}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$Rad(S(\sigma)) = D(S(0)) + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^\sigma \Phi^2(s) \partial_s \left(\int_{\tilde{S}} \tilde{p} d\tilde{S} \right) ds. \quad (3.10)$$

Remark. Let us comment on the interpretation of $Rad(S(\sigma))$. In the case of spherical symmetry $D(S(0)) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} D(S(\sigma)) = 0$. Mean curvature $\tilde{p}$ of a sphere equals to $2/r$, hence

$Rad(S(\sigma))$ reduces to the proper radius $L = \int_0^R \Phi^2 dr$.

It is reasonable to conjecture, that $Rad(S(\sigma))$ is bounded from above by the largest proper radius of the volume enclosed by the surface S in all geometries when the equipotential surfaces are convex [10,11] (that holds true in spheroidal geometries).

Using the definition of $D(S(\sigma))$ we can rewrite (3.8) in the form

$$M < D(S(\sigma)).$$

Taking into account (2.3, 3.7) and **assuming positivity of the energy density** $\rho \geq 0$ we get $\nabla^2 \Phi \leq 0$. From the maximum principle we have

$$\partial_\sigma \Phi \leq 0. \quad (3.11)$$

This allows us to use the following estimation

$$D(S(\sigma)) \leq Rad(S(\sigma)), \quad (3.12)$$

which was proved in [9]. Finally, we obtain

$$M < Rad(S(\sigma));$$

in summary, if S is not trapped, then the amount of mass inside it can not exceed $Rad(S(\sigma))$. Thus by contradiction we have proven the following:

Theorem 1. (sufficient condition). Assume a quasi-polar Cauchy slice $trK = K^\sigma{}_\sigma$, with conformally flat metric and nonnegative energy density $\rho \geq 0$. If the amount of mass inside S satisfies the inequality

$$M \geq Rad(S(\sigma)),$$

then a convex equipotential surface S is trapped.

Let me remark, that actually the form of the sufficient condition does not depend on the fact if matter is moving or not. Let us point out, that is unlike situation studied hitherto in spherically symmetric geometry [12], where coefficients were different in these two situations.

4.MASS OF A BODY IS BOUNDED

Using eq. (3.5) we get

$$D_i n^i = \frac{\tilde{p}}{\Phi^2} + \frac{4\partial_\sigma \Phi}{\Phi^3 \sqrt{\tilde{g}_{\sigma\sigma}}}.$$

Inserting this equation in (3.6) and replacing the first and the third terms of (3.6) by $D(S(\sigma))$ (see eq. (3.9)) we obtain

$$M = D(S(\sigma)) - \frac{\Phi}{4\pi} \int_{\tilde{S}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \Phi \tilde{p} + 2\tilde{n}^\sigma \partial_\sigma \Phi \right) d\tilde{S} - \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\tilde{V}} [K_{ab} K^{ab} - (trK)^2] \Phi^6 d\tilde{V}.$$

The above formula can be rewritten in following form

$$\begin{aligned} M = D(S(\sigma)) + \frac{\Phi^2}{8\pi} \int_{\tilde{S}} \tilde{p} d\tilde{S} - \frac{\Phi}{4\pi} \int_{\tilde{S}} (\Phi \tilde{p} + 2\tilde{n}^\sigma \partial_\sigma \Phi) d\tilde{S} + \\ - \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\tilde{V}} [K_{ab} K^{ab} - (trK)^2] \Phi^6 d\tilde{V} \end{aligned}$$

In the case of decreasing Φ (eq.(3.11)) and assuming convexity of S , the following technical estimation has been found [9]:

$$\int_{\tilde{S}} (\Phi \tilde{p} + 2\tilde{n}^\sigma \partial_\sigma \Phi) d\tilde{S} \geq 0.$$

From the above inequality and (3.7) we see that last two terms are nonpositive. Using definition (3.9) of $D(S(\sigma))$ we conclude that

$$M \leq 2D(S(\sigma)).$$

Because of (3.12) the following holds true.

Theorem 2. Under the assumptions:

$$\rho \geq 0,$$

$$trK = K^\sigma_\sigma$$

the amount of mass inside a convex equipotential surface S is bounded from above,

$$M \leq 2Rad(S(\sigma)).$$

5. NECESSARY CONDITION

Now we restrict ourselves to the **case of spherical symmetry**. Then the extrinsic curvature tensor can be written:

$$K_{ab} = K(r)n_a n_b + H(r)g_{ab}.$$

Taking into account our foliation condition $trK = K^r_r$ it is easy to see that K_{ab} simplifies to

$$K_{ab} = K(r)n_a n_b.$$

In such a case the term containing extrinsic curvature vanishes, $K_{ab}K^{ab} - (trK)^2 = 0$. Now eq.(3.2) reads

$$M = -2R^2\Phi(R)\Phi'(R) + 2 \int_0^R \Phi'^2 r^2 dr. \quad (5.1)$$

Let us assume, that surface S is **trapped**: $\vartheta = D_a n^a \leq 0$. It implies, that $2\Phi'R + \Phi \leq 0$. Using this inequality and (5.1) we obtain

$$M \geq R\Phi(R)^2 + 2 \int_0^R \Phi'^2 r^2 dr. \quad (5.2)$$

The right hand side of (5.2) is bounded from below by $L/2$ [12], therefore we may conclude the following

Theorem 3. Assume that a sphere S is trapped and $trK = K^r_r$, then

$$M \geq \frac{L}{2}.$$

Let us remark, that the last estimation holds true also for moving matter, while an analogous result of [12] has been proven only for momentarily static initial data. The use of quasi-polar Cauchy slices allows one to get more information than the use of maximal slices.

Let us consider explicit example. Take a spherically symmetric shell of a coordinate radius R : $\rho = \rho_0 \delta(r - R)$. Due to the vanishing of term with an extrinsic curvature the solution of the constraint (2.3) has a form identical with a solution in the maximal gauge (see[8]):

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(r) &= 1 + \frac{m}{2R} & \text{for } r &\leq R, \\ \Phi(r) &= 1 + \frac{m}{2r} & \text{for } r &> R,\end{aligned}$$

where m denotes ADM mass of a system. Trapped surfaces exist if $m \geq 2R$. The surface matter density ρ_0 is related to m by

$$\rho_0 = \frac{m}{4\pi R^2(1 + \frac{m}{2R})^5}.$$

By changing ρ_0 we may increase m to a large enough value which satisfies the inequality $m \geq 2R$ and then allows one to form a trapped surface. The polar gauge **does not** prevent the formation of trapped surfaces **on the initial Cauchy surface**.

6. GENERALIZATION

Now we resign from the assumption of the conformal flatness. Let $U[S(\sigma)]$ be a foliation of Cauchy hypersurfaces by closed 2-surfaces; σ is a parameter, that enumerates leaves of the foliation. In [13] following lemma has been proven:

$$\partial_\sigma \int_{S(\sigma)} D_i n^i dS = \int_{S(\sigma)} (2\det(D_i n^k) - R^\sigma{}_\sigma) n_\sigma dS. \quad (6.1)$$

The scalar curvature can be expressed in terms of sectional and Ricci curvatures by identity

$$^{(3)}R[g] = 2(R_{\tau\phi}^{\tau\phi} + R^\sigma{}_\sigma),$$

where τ, ϕ denotes two different direction tangent to a leaf of $S(\sigma)$. Inserting $R^\sigma{}_\sigma$ from the above equation and using the well known Gauss formula (K denotes Gauss curvature)

$$\det(D_i n^k) = K - R_{\tau\phi}^{\tau\phi}$$

into (6.1) we obtain

$$\partial_\sigma \int_{S(\sigma)} D_i n^i dS = \int_{S(\sigma)} \left(2K - \frac{^{(3)}R}{2} - R_{\tau\phi}^{\tau\phi} \right) n_\sigma dS.$$

Integrating this with respect to σ and using the constraint equation (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S(\rho)} D_i n^i dS &= \int_{S(0)} D_i n^i dS + \int_0^\rho d\sigma \int_{S(\sigma)} 2K n_\sigma dS - 8\pi M(S(\rho)) + \\ &- \int_{V(\rho)} \left(R_{\tau\phi}^{\tau\phi} + \frac{K_{ab}K^{ab} - (tr K)^2}{2} \right) dV. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Size of a configuration may be defined by [13]

$$RAD(S(\rho)) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^\rho d\sigma \int_{S(\sigma)} 2K n_\sigma dS.$$

This quantity coincides with $Rad(S(\rho))$ in a conformally flat case and in the spherically symmetric case it is equal to a proper radius of a configuration. Let us define

$$E(S(\rho)) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{V(\rho)} \left(R_{\tau\phi}^{\tau\phi} + \frac{(K^\tau_\tau)^2 + (K^\phi_\phi)^2 + 2(K^\tau_\phi)^2}{2} \right) dV,$$

and call $E(S)$ the radiation energy. For more details on $E(S)$ see [13] where it was defined in a slightly different way. The left hand side of (6.2) is the mean expansion which defines a averaged trapped surface (eq. (2.4)). Let us assume that the foliation is smooth and locally convex. It implies that $\int_{S(0)} D_i n^i dS = 0$. Taking into account the above definitions of $RAD(S(\rho))$ and $E(S(\rho))$ we infer from (6.2) the following:

Theorem 4. The surface S is average trapped if and only if

$$M(S) + E(S) \geq RAD(S).$$

7.SUMMARY

We have considered a quasi-polar Cauchy slice, i.e. a Cauchy hypersurface satisfying the quasi-polar gauge condition with a conformally flat and convex (in the sense defined above) three-geometry. In such a case the system of a fixed size $Rad(S)$ must have rest mass $M(S)$ smaller than $2Rad(S)$ (theorem 2). Theorem 1 gives a sufficient condition for an equipotential surface S to be an average trapped surface. The "only if" part of the trapped surface conjecture is formulated, in the case of spherical symmetry, in theorem 3. Only theorems 1 and 2 require the nonnegativity of the energy density. We would like to stress out that all result obtained here are strict. It is easy to see that all inequalities will be saturated in the spherically symmetric or/and momentarily static initial slices. We should point out that methods applied in this paper were used earlier in the case of

maximal slices. The use of quasi-polar slices, however, simplifies the whole analysis and makes it possible to draw more conclusive statements than in the case of maximal Cauchy slices. In particular, it allows one to cover the case with nonzero matter fluxes on the Cauchy surface.

As it was pointed out before, the existence problem of polar gauge foliation is not solved yet, similarly as for maximal and constant extrinsic curvature foliations. There is known a result which suggests that the latter foliations do not cover all physical spacetimes. D.M. Eardley and L.Smarr [14] showed that foliations $trK = 0$ and $trK = const$ avoid some kinds of singularities. We suppose that this also may take a place in the polar (quasi-polar) gauge. The problem of the efficiency of gauges is of utmost importance but it is out of the scope of the present paper.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Dr. Edward Malec for motivating discussions. This work is supported by the Polish Government Grant no **PB 2526/92** and *Stefan Wajs* foundation.

REFERENCES

- [1] K.Thorn in: "Magic without magic: John Archibald Wheeler"; J.Klauder (ed.) (Freeman, San Francisco, 1972) p.231; C.W.Misner, K.Thorn, J.Wheeler, Gravitation (Freeman, San Francisco 1973) p.867.
- [2] W.B.Bonnor, Phys. Lett. 99A, 424(1983).
- [3] H.J.Seifert, Gen.Rel.Grav.10,1065(1976).
- [4] S.W.Hawking and G.F.Ellis, The Large Scale Structure of Spacetime (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1973).
- [5] R. Penrose, Techniques of Differential Topology in Relativity, (Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia 1972).
- [6] E. Malec, Acta Phys. Pol. B22, 829(1991).
- [7] J.Jeziński, J.Kijowski, Phys. Rev. D36, 1041(1987)
- [8] P.Bizoń, E.Malec, N.O'Murchadha, Class. Quantum Grav.7,1953(1990)
- [9] P.Koc, E.Malec, Acta Phys. Pol. B23, 123(1992); E.Flanagan, Phys. Rev. D46, 1429(1992).
- [10] E. Malec, Acta Phys. Pol. B22, 347(1991).
- [11] E. Malec, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 949(1991).
- [12] P.Bizoń, E.Malec, N.O'Murchadha, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 1147(1988).
- [13] E.Malec, Mod. Phys. Lett. A7,1679 (1992).
- [14] D.M. Eardley, L.Smarr, Phys. Rev. D19, 2239(1979).